

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCIPATED PARTMENT OF COMERCIPATED PARTMENT OF COMMERCIPATED PARTMENT OF COMMERCIPATED PAR

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/898,853	07/25/9	7 YAMAGISHI		н	045980
				EXAMINER	
		QM12/0928	•		
SUGHRUE MION ZINN MACPEAK & SEAS				GORDO	
2100 PENNS	SYLVANIA AV	'ENUE N W		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON	IDC 20037-	3202			
				3711	
				DATE MAILED:	
					09/28/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicants

08/898,853

Examiner

Raeann Gorden

Art Unit

3711

Yamagishi et al.

The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the corresponde	nce address
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat	tion.	
If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a be considered timely. If NO period for reply appears to a period of the reply in a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply specified above is less than the period for reply specified above is less than th		
If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory percommunication. Follows to statute the section of the		
 Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by standard reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). 	atute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (nailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, in	(35 U.S.C. § 133). nay reduce any
Status	0.0004	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Sep 11</u>		
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex	e except for formal matters, prosecution as to x parte Quayl@35 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.	o the merits is
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u>	is/a	are pending in the applica
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are	e withdrawn from considera
5) 🕅 Claim(s) <u>1-12</u>		is/are allowed.
6) 🗓 Claim(s) <u>13-19</u>		is/are rejected.
7)		is/are objected to.
8) Claims	are subject to restrict	tion and/or election requirem
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	·	
10) The drawing(s) filed on i		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a∭ approved b)∭dis	approved.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	oriority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).	
C) All b) Comet a) Chief		
a) All b) Some* c) None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have		
 Certified copies of the priority documents have Certified copies of the priority documents have 	ve been received in Application No.	
 Certified copies of the priority documents have Certified copies of the priority documents have Copies of the certified copies of the priority depolication from the International Bure 	ve been received in Application No. documents have been received in this National au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
 Certified copies of the priority documents have Certified copies of the priority documents have Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents 	ve been received in Application No. documents have been received in this National rau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). The certified copies not received.	
 Certified copies of the priority documents have Certified copies of the priority documents have Copies of the certified copies of the priority described application from the International Bures *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the 	ve been received in Application No. documents have been received in this National rau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). The certified copies not received.	
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority described application from the International Bures *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority described in the International Bures *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents have application from the International Bures *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority documents have a copies	ve been received in Application No documents have been received in this National PCT Rule 17.2(a)). ne certified copies not received. c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).	al Stage
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority described application from the International Buree*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority described in the International Buree*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority document is made of a claim for domestic Attachment(s)	ve been received in Application No. documents have been received in this National rau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). The certified copies not received.	al Stage

Art Unit:

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 13-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification does not substantially disclose a third layer on the core of the golf ball. There is an option of providing additional layers (spec 9 line 5) but there are no specific details given regarding the characteristics. Particularly there is no mention of the Shore D hardness being less than the second layer (claim 13) or the thickness (claim 15). The shore D hardness of the core inner layer is not lower than 20 and the diameter is not lower than 20 mm according to the specification (p 6 line 31 and p 7 line 1) yet, the limitations of claims 14-15 fall beneath these values. Furthermore, there is no mention of the specific gravities in claim 16 or the materials in claim 18.

Application/Control Number: 08/898,853 Page 3

Art Unit:

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-12 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicants' argument is based on the premise that although two core layers and two cover layers were originally disclosed in the instant application, the innermost cover layer may nevertheless be considered simply an outermost core layer. Applicants state that there is no substantial difference between what has been disclosed as an inner cover layer, and, what the patent with which applicants seek to provoke an interference, discloses as an outer core layer, citing similar materials and compositions in the compared layers. However, this position is at odds with that taken by the applicants in paper 6 where applicants argued that prior at showing a three piece core and a cover is "completely distinguishable" from the ball of the applicants' claims, (emphasis added). (See paper 6 remarks pages 4-6). These remarks were made in an attempt to overcome a prior art rejection. Under file wrapper estoppel doctrine applicants are estopped from now arguing that the inner cover layer may be considered an outer core layer or vice-versa.

Applicant may contact the Examiner at anytime to schedule an interview.

Application/Control Number: 08/898,853

Art Unit:

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raeann Gorden whose telephone number is (703) 308-8354. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 7:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeanette Chapman, can be reached on 703-308-1310. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7768.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

RG September 24, 2001

> Mark S. Graham Primary Examiner

Page 4